Richard Baxter and the Road to Civil War: Religion and Fear in 1641

Blake Edward Mitchell | 10 November 2026

Remembering a nation in crisis

Richard Baxter's memoir, written in 1662 and published in 1696, is not a neutral record of 1641 but a Puritan act of remembrance. Written after the Restoration, it addressed a Protestant readership and aimed to defend the godly cause. Its value lies in showing how a devout observer interpreted a nation in crisis. Seen this way, historians learn three things: religion shaped political meaning; mobilisation and emotion deepened mistrust; and Baxter's post-1660 memory reshaped events into a moral narrative.[1]

Religion and popular politics

As a Puritan minister, Baxter understood the crisis as a contest between godliness and sin, not simply a political dispute. His tone is pastoral and cautionary: he calls the crowds "indiscreet", describes petitioning as "disorderly", and treats attacks on bishops and the Book of Common Prayer as religious as much as political.[2] This shows historians how religion shaped contemporary interpretation. His criticism of Laudian ceremonial reforms, moving altars, adding rails, restoring images, reveals how such changes convinced Puritans that the Church was drifting towards Catholicism. Because he wrote after the wars, Baxter's memory is shaped by defeat, which affects how reliable his descriptions can be.

Baxter's description also helps historians connect different explanations of the 1641 crisis. His references to bishops, ceremonies, and fears of "popery" reflect why religion stood at the centre, supporting John Morrill's argument that the Civil War was "the last of the Wars of Religion."[3] From his language about "clamours and papers", historians see what Michael Braddick terms mobilisation, political participation expanding into the streets through petitions and demonstrations.[4] Braddick argues that political breakdown was intensified by "the mobilisation of opinion in petitions, demonstrations and printed polemics," which Baxter's description supports.[5] His unease with activism aligns with Blair Worden's idea that Parliament saw itself as a vehicle for godly reform.[6] This helps historians see how religious aims and popular politics worked together but also strained authority.

1. Richard Baxter, Reliquiae Baxterianae (London, 1696), extract in assessment brief.
2. Baxter, Reliquiae Baxterianae, extract in assessment brief.
3. John Morrill, 'The Religious Context of the English Civil War', Transactions of the RHS, 5th ser., 34 (1984), p. 178.
4. Baxter, Reliquiae Baxterianae, extract in assessment brief.
5. Michael Braddick, God's Fury, England's Fire (London: Penguin, 2008), p. 107.
6. Blair Worden, The English Civil Wars 1640-1660 (London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 2009), p. 66.

Fear and providence

Fear emerges as a central theme in Baxter's narrative. After the Irish uprising, he describes panic spreading rapidly. This supports Diane Purkiss's claim that the 1640s produced "a world of fear" in which rumour and emotion shaped behaviour.[7] His focus on apprentices and crowds reflects David Underdown's point that the "middling sort" tried to enforce moral order, which for Baxter made crowd activism threatening and fed the wider climate of fear.[8] Baxter's depictions of marches, rumours, and armed readiness show how fear pushed politics beyond rational argument. Historians learn from this how emotional responses intensified distrust and turned disputes into existential conflicts.

A Puritan worldview further shaped Baxter's interpretation. Jeers at the Book of Common Prayer and cries of "No Bishops!" marked, for him, the breaking of godly order. Baxter supported reform but criticised uncontrolled enthusiasm, a central tension within Puritanism. Christopher Hill emphasised that Puritans saw politics as a moral struggle, which is visible in Baxter's descriptions of sermons, petitions, and crowds.[9] He treated disorder as divine punishment, reading providence into events. Alexandra Walsham notes that providence offered contemporaries "a conceptual framework" through which crises became morally meaningful, and Baxter embodies this mindset.[10] For historians, this reveals how Baxter interpreted disorder through spiritual categories, showing the importance of providence and moral meaning in shaping his account of 1641.

The breakdown of trust

Baxter's account of distrust shows the breakdown of political authority. He says many in Parliament viewed Charles I as "unmoveable" and deceitful, fearing negotiation would lead to their "destruction."[11] Suspicion became absolute, turning political disagreement into a religious threat. Conrad Russell argues that the war resulted from "the breakdown of the mechanisms of government" rather than ideological extremism, challenging Baxter's religious emphasis.[12] Russell shows that Baxter translated structural failure into moral judgement. This shows historians how political breakdown was understood through a moral and religious lens, showing the gap between what caused the crisis and how people at the time understood it.

The Irish Rebellion and Protestant fears

The Irish Rebellion forms the emotional core of Baxter's memoir. He repeats atrocity stories such as "Two hundred thousand persons they murdered", and recounts visions at Portadown crying "Revenge."[13] Modern scholarship places casualties far lower, and Nicholas Canny argues such reports were "magnified by distance and confessional prejudice," meaning these exaggerations are historically inaccurate but valuable for showing how English Protestants interpreted distant violence as a direct spiritual threat.[14] Purkiss argues the 1640s created "a world increasingly divided from itself, and hence a world of fear," and Baxter's descriptions show how climate shaped his interpretation.[15] Historians learn fear was not simply a reaction to events but a framework through which Protestants explained violence, turning rumour and providence into moral certainty.

---

7. Diane Purkiss, The English Civil War: A People's History (London: HarperCollins, 2006), p. 82.
8. David Underdown, 'What was the English Revolution?', History Today, 34:3 (1984), p. 23.
9. Christopher Hill, Society and Puritanism in Pre-Revolutionary England (London: Secker & Warburg, 1964).
10. Alexandra Walsham, Providence in Early Modern England (Oxford: OUP, 1999), p. 6.
11. Baxter, Reliquiae Baxterianae, extract in assessment brief.
12. Conrad Russell, The Causes of the English Civil War (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1990), p. 10.
13. Baxter, Reliquiae Baxterianae, extract in assessment brief.
14. Nicholas Canny, Making Ireland British, 1580-1650 (Oxford: OUP, 2001), pp. 475-77.
15. Purkiss, The English Civil War, p. 82.

What historians can learn

The memoir's value does not lie in factual accuracy but in showing how interpretation worked. Baxter's worldview, shaped by providence and Restoration hindsight, turns events into lessons. As a minister writing after defeat, Baxter reshapes memory into a sermon-like narrative, emphasising crisis, danger, and divine judgement. His distrust of crowds makes him overlook the constructive side of popular action, and his moral language can flatten events that were more complex. Even so, these distortions show how later Puritans interpreted and defended their memory of the Civil Wars.

For historians, Baxter's memoir is most useful not as evidence for what happened in 1641, but as evidence for how contemporaries understood crisis. It shows how religion, fear, mobilisation, and providence shaped responses to political breakdown, helping explain why mistrust hardened into war.

Bibliography

Baxter, Richard, Reliquiae Baxterianae (London, 1696). Extract in assessment brief.

Braddick, Michael, God's Fury, England's Fire (London: Penguin, 2008).

Canny, Nicholas, Making Ireland British, 1580-1650 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001).

Hill, Christopher, Society and Puritanism in Pre-Revolutionary England (London: Secker & Warburg, 1964).

Morrill, John, 'The Religious Context of the English Civil War', Transactions of the Royal Historical Society, 5th ser., 34 (1984)

Purkiss, Diane, The English Civil War: A People's History (London: HarperCollins, 2006).

Russell, Conrad, The Causes of the English Civil War (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1990).

Underdown, David, 'What Was the English Revolution?', History Today, 34:3 (1984)

Walsham, Alexandra, Providence in Early Modern England (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999).

Worden, Blair, The English Civil Wars 1640-1660 (London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 2009).